

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/973,819	10/11/2001	Per Blomstedt	024444-976	7898
	75	90 06/18/2003			
	Ronald L. Grudziecki		EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404		THIS, L.L.P.	CHEN, BRET P		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1762	$\overline{}$
				DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No.

O9/973,819

Per Blomstedt et al.

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit

1762

<u></u>							
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address				
Period for Reply							
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status							
11	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 31, 2	2003					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ion is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) 8	is/are	pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 8		is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)							
12)	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)[a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🗌							
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)							
Attachment(s)							
1) N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)				
3) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 8 is pending in this application. Amended claim 8 is noted.

The amendment dated 3/31/03 has been entered and carefully considered. The examiner appreciates the amendments to the abstract, title, specification, and claims. In view of said amendment, the objection to the abstract, title, 112 rejection, and art rejection have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 8 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,447,912 for the reasons listed in the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/973819

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/31/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that there are numerous differences between Patent 6,447,912 and the claimed invention (pp.5-6).

The examiner disagrees. It is noted that the patented claim 15 recites:

A method for producing a diamond coated cemented carbide body with a surface zone in the cemented carbide being different from the rest of the carbide body the method comprising:

decarburizing the body to form an eta phase containing surface zone 3-100 .mu.m thick and comprising >60% by volume of at least partially interconnected eta phase and recarburizing the body whereby the eta phase is completely transformed into WC+Co.

The limitation in applicant's claim 8 which recites "a body comprising a cemented carbide of WC ... a substoichiometric carbon content" reads on Mikus' "a surface zone in the cemented carbide being different from the rest of the carbide body".

The limitation of "sintering the body such that an eta phase ... is obtained" reads on Mikus' "decarburizing the body to form an eta phase".

The limitation of "subjecting the cemented carbide body to recarburization" reads on Mikus' "recarburizing the body".

In other words, the applicant's claim, while not identical word for word, is basically the same as that of the cited reference. The only significant difference appears to be the use of the diamond coating which the examiner has deemed an obvious variation.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive.

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

June 15, 2003

BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER